



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/602,358

06/23/2003

Leonard N. Schiff

000010U1/QUALP853USA

8897

70797

7590

06/03/2008

Amin, Turocy & Calvin LLP

1900 E. 9th Street

24th Floor, National City Center

Cleveland, OH 44114

EXAMINER

LEE, ANDREW CHUNG CHEUNG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2619

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/03/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

doctet1@thepatentattorneys.com

hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com

lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/602,358	Applicant(s) SCHIFF, LEONARD N.	
	Examiner Andrew C. Lee	Art Unit 2619	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 January 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 13-23, 26-32, 35-37 and 39-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 13-23, 26-32, 35-37 and 39-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1 – 11, 13 – 23, 26 – 32, 35 – 37, 39 – 42 are pending.
Claims 12, 24, 25, 33, 34, 38 had been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 – 11, 13 - 23, 26 – 32, 35 – 37, 39 – 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beshai et al. (6034960) in view of Ketseoglous et al. (5732076).

Regarding claim 1, 35, Beshai et al. disclose a method, a terminal device for transmitting data in a communication system wherein said data is transmitted in communication frames, the communication frames comprising predetermined time slots ("guaranteed time-slot allocation" interpreted as predetermined time slots, Fig. 10) a method, a terminal device comprising: receiving, at a terminal device, one or more scattering instructions, the scattering instructions providing information for partitioning said data into intervals, each interval shorter in duration than each of said predetermined time slots ("applying reverse-binary ordering to either the sequence in

which time –slots are updated ...is an effective way of scattering the time-slot allocations” interpreted as scattering instructions providing information, Fig. 7, col. 5, lines 1 – 7, col. 8, lines 16 – 28; and “guaranteed time-slot allocation” interpreted as predetermined time slots, Fig. 10, col. 9, lines 53 – 62), placing at least two of said intervals into at least one of said communication frames (Fig. 8), Beshai et al. disclose implicitly the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner (“receive the well-scattered time-slots 0, 4, 8 and 12” interpreted as the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner, Fig. 7, col. 8, lines 16 – 28); and transmitting the communication frames (“dequeued from the cell buffer memory to the link for transmission” interpreted as transmitting the communication frames, Fig. 9, col. 10, lines 27 – 45).

Beshai et al. do not disclose explicitly the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner.

Ketseoglous et al. teach the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner (“two non-contiguous time segments” interpreted as at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner, Fig. 24B, col. 31, lines 3 – 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Beshai et al. to include the features of the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner as taught by Ketseoglous et al. in order to provide an

integrated communication system supporting multiple communication (as suggested by Ketseoglous et al., see col. 3, lines 7 – 9).

Regarding claims 2, 9, 36, Beshai et al. disclose the method, terminal device, apparatus claimed, further comprising receiving configuration information, wherein the one or more scattering instructions are included with the configuration information (“updated with stream-number entries using reverse-binary mapping, each stream will receive a well-scattered assortment of time-slots” interpreted as receiving configuration information, wherein the one or more scattering instructions are included with the configuration information; Fig. 6, Fig. 7, col. 8, lines 11 – 24).

Regarding claims 3, 10, 37, Beshai et al. disclose the method, terminal device, apparatus claimed a memory for storing time-scattering control information (Fig. 1, element 2 cell buffer memory, col. 5, lines 10 – 17), wherein one or more scattering instructions comprise an index into a memory of stored time-scattering control information (Fig. 5, Fig. 6, Fig. 9, col. 10, lines 28 – 34).

Regarding claims 4, 11, Beshai et al. disclose the method, terminal device, apparatus claimed wherein the memory is disposed within the terminal device (Fig. 1, element 2 cell buffer memory correlates to the memory is disposed within the terminal device; col. 4, lines 61 – 67).

Regarding claims 5, 13, Beshai et al. disclose the method, terminal device claimed wherein the one or more scattering instructions comprise a tabular indication of how to scatter the intervals data (Fig. 4, Fig. 5, col. 7, lines 25 – 41).

Regarding claim 6, Beshai et al. disclose the method claimed wherein the tabular indication specifies, by time interval identifier, a starting location for the scattered data (Fig. 16, Fig. 17, col. 15, lines 2 – 21).

Regarding claims 7, 14, Beshai et al. disclose the method, terminal device claimed wherein the one or more scattering instructions comprise an algorithm for temporally scattering the data, how to scatter the interval (“reverse-binary mapping” interpreted as one or more scattering instructions comprise an algorithm for temporally scattering the data; Fig. 4, Fig. 5, col. 7, lines 25 – 47).

Regarding claims 8, Beshai et al. disclose a terminal device (“switch”, Fig. 1) transmitting data in a communication system wherein said data is transmitted in communication frames, the communication frames comprising predetermined time slots (“guaranteed time-slot allocation” interpreted as predetermined time slots, Fig. 10) the terminal device (“switch”, Fig. 1) comprising: a processor (Fig. 1, element 3 “link controller” interpreted as to a processor; col. 4, lines 61 – 67); a memory of stored time-scattering control information coupled to the processor (Fig. 1, element 2, “a cell buffer memory”, col. 4, lines 61 – 67) and a machine accessible medium (Fig. 1, element 5

scheduler correlates to a machine accessible medium; col. 5, lines 1 – 16), coupled to the processor, having instructions encoded therein, the instructions, when executed by the processor, cause the terminal device to: receive one or more scattering instructions, the scattering instructions providing information for partitioning said data into intervals, each interval shorter in duration than each of said predetermined time slots (“applying reverse-binary ordering to either the sequence in which time –slots are updated ...is an effective way of scattering the time-slot allocations” interpreted as scattering instructions providing information, Fig. 7, col. 5, lines 1 – 7, col. 8, lines 16 – 28; and “guaranteed time-slot allocation” interpreted as predetermined time slots, Fig. 10, col. 9, lines 53 – 62), placing at least two of said intervals into at least one of said communication frames (Fig. 8), Beshai et al. disclose implicitly the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner (“receive the well-scattered time-slots 0, 4, 8 and 12” interpreted as the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner, Fig. 7, col. 8, lines 16 – 28); and transmitting the communication frames (“dequeued from the cell buffer memory to the link for transmission” interpreted as transmitting the communication frames, Fig. 9, col. 10, lines 27 – 45).

Beshai et al. do not disclose explicitly the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner.

Ketseoglous et al. teach the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner (“two non-contiguous time

segments" interpreted as at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner, Fig. 24B, col. 31, lines 3 – 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Beshai et al. to include the features of the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner as taught by Ketseoglous et al. in order to provide an integrated communication system supporting multiple communication (as suggested by Ketseoglous et al., see col. 3, lines 7 – 9).

Regarding claims 15, 26, Beshai et al. disclose a method, an apparatus for transmitting data in a communication system wherein said data is transmitted in communication frames, the communication frames comprising predetermined time slots ("guaranteed time-slot allocation" interpreted as predetermined time slots, Fig. 10) the method, apparatus comprising: receiving a request from a terminal device for access to a communications channel ("applying reverse-binary ordering to either the sequence in which time –slots are updated ...is an effective way of scattering the time-slot allocations" correlates to receiving a request from a terminal device for access to a communications channel, Fig. 7, col. 5, lines 1 – 7, col. 8, lines 16 – 28); generating a schedule of transmission for the terminal device ("applying reverse-binary ordering" correlates to generating a schedule of transmission, col. 8, lines 16 – 20), the schedule for partitioning said data into intervals, each interval shorter in duration than each of said predetermined time slots ("applying reverse-binary ordering to either the sequence

in which time –slots are updated ...is an effective way of scattering the time-slot allocations” interpreted as scattering instructions providing information, Fig. 7, col. 5, lines 1 – 7, col. 8, lines 16 – 28; and “guaranteed time-slot allocation” interpreted as predetermined time slots, Fig. 10, col. 9, lines 53 – 62), placing at least two of said intervals into at least one of said communication frames (Fig. 8), Beshai et al. disclose implicitly the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner (“receive the well-scattered time-slots 0, 4, 8 and 12” interpreted as the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner, Fig. 7, col. 8, lines 16 – 28); and transmitting the schedule of transmission to the terminal device (“dequeued from the cell buffer memory to the link for transmission” interpreted as transmitting the schedule of transmission to the terminal device, Fig. 9, col. 10, lines 28 – 34, col. 9, lines 37 – 52).

Beshai et al. do not disclose explicitly the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner.

Ketseoglous et al. teach the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner (“two non-contiguous time segments” interpreted as at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner, Fig. 24B, col. 31, lines 3 – 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Beshai et al. to include the features of the at least two intervals placed within the at least one communication frame in a non-contiguous manner as taught by Ketseoglous et al. in order to provide an

integrated communication system supporting multiple communication (as suggested by Ketseoglous et al., see col. 3, lines 7 – 9).

Regarding claims 16, 27, Beshai et al. disclose the method, apparatus claimed wherein receiving the request comprises receiving an indication of the amount of data queued at the terminal device for communication (“The header row specifies the guaranteed time-slot allocations required by each stream” interpreted as receiving an indication of the amount of data queued at the terminal device for communication, Fig. 10, col. 9, lines 55 – 60).

Regarding claims 17, 28, Beshai et al. disclose the method, apparatus claimed wherein the schedule of transmission comprises a list of time intervals (“the number of time-slots actually allocates to each stream over several frame-cycles” correlates to schedule of transmission comprises a list of time intervals, Fig. 10, Fig.11, col. 9, lines 55 – 65).

Regarding claims 18, 21, 29, Beshai et al. disclose the method, apparatus claimed wherein each time interval comprises a starting location in one of said communication frames and a transmission duration (Fig. 2, col. 5, lines 36 – 46, Fig. 10, col. 9, lines 55 – 65).

Regarding claims 19, 30, Beshai et al. disclose the method, apparatus claimed further comprising transmitting modulation control information for the time scattered data (Fig. 2a, col. 5, lines 17 – 26).

Regarding claim 20, 31, Beshai et al. disclose the method claimed wherein the communications frames are divided into a number of time slots in accordance with a dividing rate (col. 9, lines 2 – 5, lines 53 – 62, Fig. 10).

Regarding claim 22, Beshai et al. teach the method, apparatus claimed wherein the starting location comprises a first time interval identifier and the transmission duration comprises a second time interval identifier (Fig. 10, col. 9, lines 55 – 65).

Regarding claims 23, 32, Beshai et al. disclose the method, apparatus claimed further comprising receiving data from the terminal device, transmitted in a scattered manner per the scattering instructions, and reordering the data in accordance with the scattering schedule to obtain the data in its originally intended order (col. 9, lines 55 – 67, col. 10, lines 1 – 9).

Regarding claims 39, 40, 41, 42, Beshai et al. disclose a terminal device for transmitting data in a communication system wherein said data is transmitted in communication frames, the communication frames comprising predetermined time slots (“guaranteed time-slot allocation” interpreted as predetermined time slots, Fig. 10) comprising:

a receiver to receive data scattering instructions (“applying reverse-binary ordering to either the sequence in which time –slots are updated ...is an effective way of scattering the time-slot allocations” interpreted as receive data scattering instructions, Fig. 7, col. 5, lines 1 – 7, col. 8, lines 16 – 28); a transmitter to transmit, a processor configured to, in accordance with the data scattering instructions, temporally scattered data (“the well-scattered time-slots 0, 4, 8 and 12” interpreted as to transmit, a processor configured to, in accordance with the data scattering instructions, temporally scattered data, Fig. 7, col. 8, lines 16 – 28, “dequeued from the cell buffer memory to the link for transmission” interpreted as to transmitting the temporally scattered data, Fig. 9, col. 10, lines 28 – 34). Beshai et al. implicitly disclose in accordance with the data scattering instructions, temporally scatter data, divided into at least two temporally non-contiguous time intervals, each time interval having a duration shorter than a time slot duration (“applying reverse-binary ordering to either the sequence in which time – slots are updated ...is an effective way of scattering the time-slot allocations” interpreted as in accordance with the data scattering instructions, temporally scatter data, Fig. 7, col. 5, lines 1 – 7, col. 8, lines 16 – 28; and “guaranteed time-slot allocation” interpreted as a time slot duration, Fig. 10, col. 9, lines 53 – 62) Fig. 10,

Beshai et al. do not disclose explicitly divided into at least two temporally non-contiguous time intervals, each time interval having a duration shorter than a time slot duration.

Ketseoglous et al. teach divided into at least two temporally non-contiguous time intervals, each time interval having a duration shorter than a time slot duration (“two

non-contiguous time segments" interpreted as divided into at least two temporally non-contiguous time intervals, each time interval having a duration shorter than a time slot duration, Fig. 24B, col. 31, lines 3 – 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Beshai et al. to include the features of divided into at least two temporally non-contiguous time intervals, each time interval having a duration shorter than a time slot duration as taught by Ketseoglous et al. in order to provide an integrated communication system supporting multiple communication (as suggested by Ketseoglous et al., see col. 3, lines 7 – 9).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/25/2008 with respect to claims 1 – 11, 13 – 23, 26 – 32, 35 – 37, 39 – 42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a) Hangen et al. (4792948).
- b) Dutta (US 6301232 B1).
- c) Kim et al. (4625308).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-3131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on (571) 272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew C Lee/
Examiner, Art Unit 2619
<5/11/2008>

/Edan Orgad/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619